



SafeSide and NSW Health's approach to suicide prevention are underpinned by the Zero Suicide Framework.

Zero Suicide Framework ¹	SafeSide Framework for Recovery- Oriented Suicide Prevention ²	NSW Health Suicide Care Pathway Framework and Policy Directive PD2022_043 ^{3,4}
ldentify	 Connect Asking directly about suicide Collaborating around safety and recovery Committing to stick with the person on behalf of yourself and your team 	 Engage and Identify Ask clearly, skilfully and directly about suicide in a way that conveys a willingness to listen Engage with family and the support networks Establish a therapeutic relationship, with a commitment to provide care
Engage	 Assess Organising what is known about the person and their struggles around 8 categories: Strengths and protective factors Long-term risk factors Impulsivity/self-control (including substance use) Past suicidal behaviour Recent/present suicide ideation and behaviour and means Stressors/precipitants Symptoms, suffering and recent changes Engagement and alliance 	 Assessment Consider addressing the drivers/stressors behind the suicidality that are unique to the person Comprehensive mental health assessment based on a biopsychosocial understanding of the whole person Focused assessment of current and past suicidal thoughts and behaviours including ideation, intent, preparations, plans, access to means, and foreseeable changes in a person's life which might worsen their distress Combine a person's self-report with collateral information from supporters and carers and other health professionals
	Prevention-oriented Risk Formulation Describe risk status and risk state, identify foreseeable changes and available resources to develop person-centred plans.	Suicide Prevention Formulation Suicide prevention formulation considers static and dynamic risk, protective factors (strengths and available resources) and change factors to inform an immediate action plan and person-centred comprehensive care plan.







Treat	Respond	Brief Interventions + Treatment
	 Using or referring for suicide-specific treatment and using "mini-interventions" for immediate therapeutic responses Creating contingency plans for foreseeable changes and broader safety plans, including enhancing lethal means safety If indicated, increasing contact and/ or observation frequency in the least restrictive environment possible Consulting with your team and making referrals for unmet needs 	 Brief interventions are often part of an immediate action plan: Counselling, utilising valid techniques to reduce access to lethal means Safety plans, contingency plans (for foreseeable changes) and review, involving their family, carers and key supports Rapid follow up Information provision to a person, family, carer Treatment is part of a comprehensive care plan: Address biopsychosocial modifiable risk factors e.g., psychosocial factors, mental health conditions, pain and physical conditions Enhance and build on protective factors including social and cultural support networks
Transition	Extend	 Treatments for suicidality (CBT-SP⁵, CAMS⁶, DBT⁷) Transition of care
	 Warm handovers for needed services Proactively reaching out with structured follow-up assessments and support including use of crisis services Caring contacts to extend care and support, can be employed individually or systematically Developing plans with clear roles for family, other supports and providers Establishing a consistent approach across systems and communities 	 Warm (verbal) handover with use of ISBAR Assertive follow-up during transition and discharge Engage holistic supports (e.g., employment, housing, peer-led and social networks) Review and update assessments and care plans at points of significant transitions of care Continued engagement with family, friends and carers, with guidance on how to access advice and help in the future, should concerns arise again Implementation of local suicide care pathways to ensure a consistent approach to care
¹ Turner, K., Sveticic, J., Almeida-Crasto, A., Gaee-Atefi, T., Green, V., Grice, D., Kelly, P., Krishnaiah, R., Lindsay, L., Mayahle, B., Patist, C., Van Engelen, H., Walker, S., Welch, M., Woerwag-Mehta, S., & Stapelberg, Nicolas, J. C. (2021). Implementing a systems approach to suicide prevention in a mental health service using the Zero Suicide Framework. <i>Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry</i> , 55(3), 241-253. https://doi.org/10.1177/00048674209716		
, , ,	C., Silverman, M., & Turner, K. (2022). Prevention-orientee essment and prevention (pp. 119-149). Springer. https://do	d risk formulation: Update and expansion. In M. Pompili (Ed.), pi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42003-1_13
³ NSW Health. (2022). Cli	nical Care of People who may be Suicidal. PD2022_043.	
⁴ Agency for Clinical Inno	ovation (2022). NSW Health Suicide Care Pathway Framew	work. NSW Health.
Barnett, S., Dar feasibility, and	rent, D., Wells, K., Poling, K., Curry, J., Kennard, B. D., Wa iiel, S., & Hughes, J. (2009).Cognitive-behavioral therapy acceptability. <i>Journal of the American Academy of Child</i> 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181b5dbfe	
⁶ Jobes, D. A. (2023). <i>Man</i>	aging suicidal risk: A collaborative approach (3rd ed.). The	e Guilford Press.
⁷ DeCou, C. R., Comtois, K. A., & Landes, S. J. (2019). Dialectical behavior therapy is effective for the treatment of suicidal behavior: A meta-analysis. <i>Behavior Therapy</i> , 50(1), 60-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2018.03.009		

